Theoretical Investigation of Optical Fiber-Length-Dependent Phase Noise in Opto-Electronic Oscillators

The effects of optical propagation on RF signal and noise

Andrew Docherty,* Olukayode Okusaga,[†] Curtis R. Menyuk,* Weimin Zhou,[†] and Gary M. Carter*

*UMBC, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250 * Army Research Laboratory, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20783

5 May 2011





The Opto-electronic Oscillator

- Opto-electronic oscillators (OEO) operate with low phase noise due to the large delay and low loss of optical fibers.¹
- OEOs have noise sources in both electronic and optical domains
- Impact on RF photonic devices of noise in optical domain is not well understood
- Length-dependent noise sources dominate for L > 6 km to prevent further improvement of phase noise.

What happens to noise in the optical domain?

¹X. S. Yao and L. Maleki, JOSA B, **8** 1725–35 (1996).

Experimental evidence

Length-dependent flicker noise is seen experimentally, where does it come from?



OEO: Noise sources



Figure: The OEO system showing the sources of noise and the harmonics of the RF signal at different points in the loop.

1 The modulator

$$E_{\rm in}(t) = \frac{1}{2} E_{\rm laser}(t) \left\{ \eta_1 \exp[jv_1 A_{\rm in}(t)] + \eta_2 \exp[jv_2 A_{\rm in}(t) + j\psi] \right\}$$

$$= E_{\rm laser}(t) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m(t) \exp(jm\omega_0 t)$$

Where the applied RF signal with frequency ω_0 is given by:

$$A_{\rm in}(t) = V_{\rm in} \cos \left[\omega_0 t + \phi(t) \right]$$

 V_{in} : RF amplitude ϕ : RF phase $\eta_{1,2}$: determined by extinction ratio $v_{1,2}$: determined by modulator chirp and V_{π} ψ : determined by bias, V_b

Laser phase and amplitude noise



 α_{RIN} : Laser amplitude noise (RIN) $\Delta \omega$: Laser frequency noise ω_c : optical carrier frequency



²K. Volyanskiy et al. J. Lightwave Technology. **28** 2730-5 (2010).

Length-Dependent Phase Noise in OEO

3 Optical propagation

The effects of dispersion and nonlinearity can be modeled by:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = -\frac{\alpha}{2}E - \beta_1 \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} - i\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\beta_3}{6}\frac{\partial^3 E}{\partial t^3} + i\gamma |E|^2 E$$

 α : fiber loss γ : Kerr nonlinearity $\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= 1/v_g: \text{ group velocity } \\ \beta_2: \text{ dispersion } \\ \beta_3: \ 3^{\text{rd}} \text{ order dispersion } \end{aligned}$

4 Detection:

The detected RF signal from the beating of optical harmonics:

$$V_{\rm RF}(t) = \rho R \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_m(L, t) a_{m-1}^*(L, t) \exp(j\omega_0 t)$$

For a perfect fiber (only delay): 3

$$V_{\mathrm{RF}}^{(\mathrm{ideal})}(t) = P_{\mathrm{opt}} R \rho \eta \cos\left(\frac{\pi V_B}{V_{\pi}}\right) J_1\left(\frac{\pi V_{\mathrm{in}}}{V_{\pi}}\right) \cos\left[\omega_0 t + \phi(t)\right]$$

ho: photodetector responsivity R: impedence P_{opt} : optical power

³X. S. Yao and L. Maleki, JOSA B, **8** 1725–35 (1996).

Optical propagation: effect on the signal

The optical signal is affected by loss, dispersion and nonlinearity.

- Increasing nonlinearity increases the power transferred to harmonics further from the carrier.
- 2 The phase of the harmonics rotates leading to reduction of the detected signal

Ignoring the noise, these changes in the harmonics are given by:

$$\frac{\partial a_m}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha a_m - j\frac{\beta_2}{2}(m\omega_0)^2 a_m + j\gamma \sum_{k=-M}^M \sum_{l=-M}^M a_l a_k a_{l+k-m}^*$$

Nonlinearity: Power transfer to higher harmonics



Figure: Theoretical optical power in the harmonics for a 10 GHz OEO after 6 km of transmission through SMF-28.

Dispersion and dephasing

The phase of harmonics is changed by dispersion and nonlinearity:

$$a_m(z) = a_m(0) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\alpha z + j\theta_m(z)\right]$$

Phase differences between harmonics reduce the detected signal:

$$\delta = \frac{\theta_1(L) + \theta_{-1}(L)}{2} - \theta_0(L)$$
$$V_{\rm RF}(t) = \exp(-\alpha L) \cos(\delta) V_{\rm RF}^{\rm (ideal)}(t)$$

For dispersion with an ideal modulator: $\delta = \frac{\beta_2}{2}\omega_0 L$

Optical transmission: the signal



Figure: Calculated detected power for a 10 GHz OEO for a modulator with (a) zero chirp, and (b) chirp of $\alpha = 0.6$

First, looking at dispersion alone we have

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} + \beta_2 \Delta \omega(t) \partial_t A = -j \frac{\beta_2}{2} \Big[\partial_t^2 - \Delta \omega(t)^2 \Big] A,$$

Dispersion converts laser frequency noise to timing jitter
 This is equivalent to a phase noise of the RF signal of

$$\phi_{\rm RF}(z) = \beta_2 \omega_0 \Delta \omega(t) z$$

- 3 This has recently been shown by Volyanskiy et al. ⁴
- 4 The right hand side terms only effect the phase of the harmonics

⁴K. Volyanskiy et al. J. Lightwave Technology. **28** 2730–5 (2010).

Experimental evidence: not just dispersion!

- Using low dispersion fiber (DSF) has no effect on measured RF flicker noise.
- A significant power dependence is seen



Does the Kerr effect contribute effect the RF phase noise?

Optical propagation: Nonlinearity

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} = j\gamma (1 + 2\alpha_{\rm RIN}) |A|^2 A$$

In the presence of nonlinearity alone, the signal only experiences nonlinear phase rotation. This has no effect after direct detection.

$$A(z, t) pprox \exp\left[j\gamma(1+2lpha_{\mathrm{RIN}})|A(z, 0)|^2
ight]A(0, t)$$

$$V_{\rm RF}(t) \propto |A(z, t)|^2 = |A(0, t)|^2$$

However, the combination of nonlinearity and dispersion can have complex effects.

Noise exchange between harmonics



Figure: The theoretical RF frequency and amplitude noise converted from a typical LFN spectrum by dispersion for a SMF 28 fiber.

Parametric amplification

- Amplitude noise is parametrically amplified
- RF phase noise is not affected by nonlinearity



Figure: The theoretical optical spectra with initial (a) laser amplitude and (b) RF phase noise modulated onto the carrier.

We explicitly put the laser frequency noise into the field

$$E(z, t) = A(z, t) \left[1 + \alpha_{\text{RIN}} \right] \exp \left[j \int_0^t \Delta \omega(t') dt' \right]$$

This gives the equation for the evolution of the RF harmonics, including the effects of laser frequency noise:

$$\frac{\partial A(z,t)}{\partial z} \simeq -\frac{1}{2}\alpha A - j\frac{\beta_2}{2} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + j\Delta\omega\Big]^2 A - \frac{\beta_3}{6} \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + j\Delta\omega\Big]^3 A + j\gamma \Big[1 + 2\alpha_{\rm RIN}\Big] |A|^2 A$$

Effect on noise: Laser phase noise

Dispersion converts laser frequency noise to RF phase noise



Figure: The theoretical detected RF frequency and amplitude noise converted from a typical laser frequency noise spectrum by dispersion and nonlinearity.

Effect on noise: Laser amplitude noise

RIN is parametrically amplified but only at high powers
 Kerr nonlinearity and third order dispersion converts RIN to negligible RF phase noise



Figure: The theoretical detected RF phase and amplitude noise spectra after optical propagation with a typical RIN input.

Effect on noise: RF phase noise

Kerr nonlinearity does not affect RF phase noise



Figure: The theoretical detected RF phase and amplitude noise spectra after optical propagation with an RF phase noise input

Effect on noise: RF amplitude noise

 Kerr nonlinearity and third order dispersion converts RF amplitude noise to negligible RF phase noise



Figure: The theoretical detected RF phase and amplitude noise spectra after optical propagation with an RF amplitude noise input

Conclusions

- We are conducting a systematic investigation of the optical domain portion of OEOs
- 2 We have investigated the effects of dispersion and nonlinearity on signal and noise
- Kerr nonlinearity was not found to be a cause of length-dependent RF phase noise
- We are investigating other nonlinear amplification processes in the fiber, in particular Brillouin and Rayleigh effects