A Web-Based System for Classification of Remote Sensing Data

Ángel Ferrán, Sergio Bernabé, Student Member, IEEE, Pablo G. Rodríguez, and Antonio Plaza, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—The availability of satellite imagery has expanded over the past few years, and the possibility to perform fast processing of massive databases comprising this kind of imagery data has opened ground-breaking perspectives in many different fields. This paper describes a web-based system (available online: http://hypergim.ceta-ciemat.es), which allows an inexperienced user to perform unsupervised classification of satellite/airborne images. The processing chain adopted in this work has been implemented in C language and integrated in our proposed tool, developed with HTML5, JavaScript, Php, AJAX and other web programming languages. Image acquisition with the applications programmer interface (API) is fast and efficient. An important added functionality of the developed tool is its capacity to exploit a remote server to speed up the processing of large satellite/airborne images at different zoom levels. The ability to process images at different zoom levels allows the tool an improved interaction with the user, who is able to supervise the final result. The previous functionalities are necessary to use efficient techniques for the classification of images and the incorporation of content-based image retrieval (CBIR). Several experimental validation types of the classification results with the proposed system are performed by comparing the classification accuracy of the proposed chain by means of techniques available in the well-known Environment for Visualizing Images (ENVI) software package.

Index Terms—Remote sensing data processing, remote server, satellite/airborne image classification, web-based system.

I. INTRODUCTION

R EMOTE sensing image analysis and interpretation have become key approaches that rely on the availability of web mapping services and programs. This resourceful increase has led to the exponential growth of the user community for satellite/airborne images, not long ago only accessible by government intelligence agencies [1], [2]. In particular, the wealth of satellite/airborne imagery available from Google Maps,

Manuscript received June 14, 2012; revised October 11, 2012; accepted November 09, 2012. Date of publication December 21, 2012; date of current version July 22, 2013. This work was supported by the European Community Marie Curie Research Training Networks Programme under contract MRTN-CT-2006-035927, Hyperspectral Imaging Network (HYPER-I-NET), and supported in part by funding from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (CEOS-SPAIN project, reference AYA2011-29334-CO2-02) and by the Spanish Government Board (National Research Projects) and the European Union (FEDER founds) by means of the grant reference TIN2008-03063.

Á. Ferrán and P. G. Rodríguez are with the Grupo de Ingeniería de Medios, Department of Systems Engineering and Telematics, University of Extremadura, E-10003 Cáceres, Spain (e-mail: angelmff@gmail.com, pablogr@unex.es).

S. Bernabé and A. Plaza are with the Hyperspectral Computing Laboratory, Department of Technology of Computers and Communications, University of Extremadura, E-10003 Cáceres, Spain (e-mail: sergiobernabe@unex.es, aplaza@unex.es).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSTARS.2012.2230247

which now provides high-resolution images from many locations around the Earth¹, has opened the appealing perspective of performing classification and retrieval tasks via the Google Maps application programming interface (API).

The combination of an easily searchable mapping and satellite/airborne imagery tool such as Google Maps, with advanced image classification and retrieval features [3], can expand the functionalities of the tool and also allow end-users to extract relevant information from a massive and widely available database of satellite/airborne images (this service is also free for non-commercial purposes). It should be noted that the current version of Google Maps does not allow using maps outside a web-based application (except with a link to Google Maps). Here we use Google Maps purely as an example to demonstrate that if we have a data repository we can use the tool we propose, and the logo and Google Maps terms of service² are always in place. The characteristics of Yahoo Maps are similar to Google Maps (though the spatial resolution of the satellite/airborne imagery in Yahoo Maps is generally lower than Google Maps). OpenStreetMap is a collaborative project aimed at creating a free editable map of the world, a design inspired by sites such as Wikipedia³. For illustrative purposes, the Table I shows a comparison between the main functionalities of the previous map servers.

As shown by Table I, Google Maps offers important competitive advantages, such as the availability of high resolution satellite/airborne imagery, the smoothness in the navigation and interaction with the system, the availability of a hybrid satellite view which can be integrated with other views (e.g., maps view), and adaptability for general-purpose web applications. It should be noted that other open standards for geospatial content such as those included within the open geospatial consortium (OCG) cannot currently provide complete world coverage at high spatial resolution as it is the case of Google Maps. This is why we have decided to use Google Maps service as a baseline for our system. However, our system has been designed in a completely modular and open way, and that it allows for the incorporation of alternative data sources and software implementations in future developments. On the other hand, a feature which is currently lacking in Google Maps is the unsupervised or supervised classification of satellite/airborne images at different zoom levels [4], [5], even though image classification is widely recognized as one of the most powerful approaches in order to extract information from satellite/airborne imagery [6]–[8]. The incorporation of such a function into Google Maps

¹http://code.google.com/apis/maps/index.html ²https://developers.google.com/maps/terms?hl=en ³http://www.wikipedia.org

	Google Maps	Yahoo Maps	OpenStreetMap
Without restrictions of use	No, up to a certain limit	Yes	Yes
Hybrid satellite view	Yes	Yes, low visibility	No
High resolution imagery	Yes	No	No
Zooming levels	Very high quality	High quality	Medium quality
Error correction	Low	Low	Very high
Smoothness in navigation	Very high	High	High
Adaptivity for desktop and web applications	High	High	High

 TABLE I

 Comparison Between the Main Functionalities of Google Maps, Yahoo Maps and OpenStreetMap.

would allow relevant information withdrawal from a massive, widely available database of satellite/airborne images and the possibility to perform content-based image retrieval (CBIR) tasks [9], which are of great interest for the exploitation of this database and others for satellite/airborne images.

In this paper, we describe a web-based system (which represents a follow-up of our previous work in [10]) that allows an inexperienced user to perform an unsupervised classification of satellite/airborne images obtained via Google Maps. Specifically, our web-based system incorporates a fully unsupervised processing chain based on two well-known clustering techniques: ISODATA [11] and k-means [12], followed by spatial post-processing based on majority voting [13]. The processing chain has been implemented in C language and integrated into our proposed tool using open standards and free software tools including HTML5, JavaScript, Php, AJAX, and other web-based programming languages.

In our previous work [10], the tool was implemented as a desktop system and developed in JAVA. The main drawbacks resolved were:

- The image acquisition with the API (only compatible with web applications) is now much faster and efficient because we obtain the image directly, a full mosaic compared with the library swingX-WS, in which we had to manually create the mosaic. In all cases (following the Google Maps terms of service), we do not remove the watermarks, which are visible when using the API. In our tests we have experienced that the watermarks generally do not affect the classification results from a general point of view.
- A very important added functionality of our newly developed tool is the fact that it exploits a remote server to speed up the processing of large images at different zoom levels versus the previously available desktop system, in which large images are very slow to be processed. In the future we are planning to incorporate high performance computing functionalities [14]–[18] to the remote server using GPU technologies [19]–[25].
- The ability to combine and change the color of the class labels and process images at different zoom levels allows the tool an improved interaction with the user who is now able to supervise the final result.
- Last but not least, we highlight the flexibility of our proposed system since it can be easily extended to other map servers and software platforms. In this regard, our system

has been designed in a way that it would easily allow replacement of map servers and software implementations following a highly modular design.

The previous functionalities are necessary to use efficient techniques for image classification and the incorporation of content-based image retrieval (CBIR), which are main goals in both systems. Regarding the availability of other client/server system designs for remote sensing data processing, we are aware of developments in the CBIR domain such as the KIM⁴ system (developed by the European Space Agency). Although, we are not aware of similar systems being able to provide fast, scalable and advanced data processing of remotely sensed imagery with high spatial resolution, such as those provided by our application.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the system architecture, including relevant aspects such as the map, server and client layers. Section III describes the processing chain implemented by the proposed methodology, including aspects such as the image acquisition process, the graphical user interface (GUI) that allows end-users to interact with the proposed system, the image processing algorithms implemented, and the procedure adopted for data saving and end product distribution to the users. Section IV performs an experimental validation of the classification results obtained by the proposed system by comparing the classification accuracy of the proposed chain in terms of the techniques available in the well-known Environment for Visualizing Images (ENVI) software package⁵. Finally, Section V concludes the paper with some remarks and hints at possible future research.

II. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

This section describes the architecture of the system, displayed in Fig. 1. It is a web application comprised of several layers or modules. Each module serves a different purpose, and the technology adopted for the development of the system is based on open standards and free software. A combination of these has been used for the development of the system.

As shown by the architecture model described in Fig. 1, the proposed system can be described from a high level viewpoint using three different layers, which are completely independent from each other. Due to the adopted modular design, any of the layers can be replaced. Also, the system is fully scalable,

⁴http://rssportal.esa.int/deepenandlearn/tiki-index.php?page=KIM+Project ⁵http://www.exelisvis.com/language/en-us/productsservices/envi.aspx

Client Layer Pc Mobile Mobile Map Layer Google Maps

Fig. 1. Architecture of the proposed system expressed in the form of different modular layers.

allowing for the incorporation of additional layers and additional data processing algorithms that can be included in the form of components into our framework. This is done in user-transparent fashion, and the modular design of processing algorithms in the form of components (which receive data as input and provide processing results) allows for the incorporation of additional components in scalable fashion and without the need to modify the proposed system, only the pool of data processing algorithms in the compute server. Moreover, the design of the system in the form of layers (map, client and server) allows for the incorporation of additional resources in each of the layers without modification of the system. A good example is the possibility to incorporate additional compute resources such as GPUs, or even additional map servers, which are fully supported by our current implementation of the system.

The communication between two layers is carried out over the Internet via the hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP)⁶. As a result, the system performance will depend largely (as expected) on the available bandwidth. Both the map layer (currently provided by Google Maps) and server layer (by ourselves) are available from any location in the world. We describe each layer adopted in detail next.

A. Map Layer

This layer contains the source imagery data to be used by the system, i.e., the image repository. Google Maps is used in the current version by means of the Google Maps API V3 as a programming interface intended for accessing the provided maps. The current framework is limited to the types of maps provided by Google Maps. All types of maps provided by the API V3 can be used, including roadmaps (2D mosaics), satellite/airborne images, hybrid view (mixed satellite/airborne images and roadmap, superimposed), or terrain (physical relief). Also, all the potentials and functionalities provided by the Google Maps API V3 are included (this comprises management of zoom levels, image centering, location by geo-spatial coordinates, etc.) Although Google Maps is now used by our system as a repository of images, the system is open and could support other possible alternative or complementary repositories such as Yahoo Maps or OpenStreetMap (thus associating map data and metadata to satellite/airborne imagery available in other repositories). These systems are all accessible free of charge and are easy to include in our proposed platform. In fact, the image repository can be used to capture any satellite/airborne images displayed by the Google Maps engine, and most importantly the images can be captured at different zoom levels. Even a single image can be extracted at different zoom levels, which is obtained by different image sizes and resolutions. This feature offers significant advantages in the accurate analysis of geo-registered satellite/airborne imagery at different resolutions [26].

B. Server Layer

The server layer is one main component in the system. It is formed by two sub-modules: web server and compute server. The former is the part of the system hosting the source code of the application (developed using HTML5, Php, JavaScript and CSS) and deal with the incoming traffic and requests from client browsers. We have used the Apache web server due to its wide acceptance, performance, and free-of-charge license. Further, Php is used both in the server layer and also for managing the communications between the clients and the web server (mainly dominated by the transmission of satellite/airborne imagery to be processed), and the web server and the compute server (intended for the processing of satellite/airborne images).

The compute server is mainly in charge of the actual image processing tasks which comprise clustering using k-means [11] and ISODATA [12] algorithms, and spatial post-processing [13]. The compute server receives the processing requests from end-users, manages them effectively by resorting to exploit a remote server (in the future, we will use the GPU cluster made up of 44 NVidia Tesla C1060 GPUs7 connected to this server by means of efficient OpenCL implementations will be pursued), and then provides the obtained result to the end-user. The web server and the compute server are currently hosted on the same machine, which in our case is motivated by the fact that the processing capacity of the server was experimentally observed to be high enough to support also the computational demands introduced by the map layer, but the system also allows to have different machines for this purpose, allowing for incorporation of additional processing modules other than ISODATA, k-means and spatial post-processing.

C. Client Layer

The client layer defines the interactions between the user (through an internet browser) and our system. Only one web page is needed as user interface thanks to the adopted AJAX and JavaScript technologies, which allow for the web interface update without the need for interactions with the web server. At this point, it is important to emphasize that AJAX is a programming method (not a piece of software) and that it is built on Javascript (not a standalone programming language). The design of the web interface has been done using jQuery UI,





Fig. 2. Interactions between the three main layers (map, client and server) of our system.

which provides built-in JavaScript modules that are attractive, easy to use and freely available. The interaction betwen the user and the client web interface is captured by the event handlers of the jQuery libraries, and executed at the local browser as JavaScript, only run on the browser in our implementation.

The only user-based actions transmitted to the server layer are those related to the processing of satellite/airborne images. In this context, the images to be processed are transmitted to the server using AJAX-based requests, and the web server provides such requests to the compute server (in our case, implemented in the same machine) so that the compute server can process the images very efficiently and produce a result that is then transmitted back to the client layer. This process is illustrated in Fig. 2, which also shows how the client layer performs requests to the map layer in order to update the maps which are being handled by the end-user. This step comprises operations such as zooming, changing of location in the map, creation of maps, and selection of the specific view in which the processing will be accomplished (satellite, roadmap, hybrid, etc.).

In order to understand the interactions between the different layers of our system (see Fig. 2), an example is provided next about the flow of a processing request started by the client in the system and the different steps needed until a processing result is received by the end-user. The following steps are identified in Fig. 2:

- 1) First, the client starts the use of the system by requesting a web page from the local internet browser. This results in an HTTP request to the web server.
- The web server receives this request and provides the client with an HTML web page and all necessary references (JavaScript libraries, CSS, etc.)
- At this point, the client requests from the map server the information needed to perform the map modification locally (i.e., zooming). This operation is transparent to the

system, and the requests are performed via messages from the client to the map server.

- 4) The map layer returns the information requested by the client in the form of updated maps that will be locally managed by the end-user.
- 5) A capture with all the URL addresses associated to each portion that compose the full map is performed to send this information to the web server. This process is locally managed at the client by means of JavaScript functions. We emphasize that the end-user can decide the zoom level and the image view (street, satellite, hybrid, etc.) of the map image to be processed.
- 6) Now, the Universal Resource Locator (URL) addresses associated to each portion of the full image are sent to the web server by means of AJAX functions and asynchronous resquests. In this way, the interaction with the application at the client layer can continue while the packet is being transferred to the server.
- The web server composes the full image by accessing to the Google Maps repository.
- 8) The web server provides the image to be processed to the compute server. Our system thus delegates the processing task to an independent remote server system that takes care of the processing task independently from other layers in the system.
- 9) Once the image has been processed, the compute server returns the obtained result to the web server. In our current implementation both the web server and the compute server are implemented in the same machine, hence in this case the communications are minimized.
- 10) Finally, the processing result is returned to the client so that it can be saved to disk as the final outcome of the adopted processing chain.
- 11) The client can save processed image to local disk.



Fig. 3. Flowchart describing the methodology adopted for the development of the system.

III. METHODOLOGY

This section describes the methodology adopted for the development of the proposed system. Several main tasks have been identified: image acquisition, graphical user interface (GUI), web server, image processing and image saving. These tasks, summarized in the flowchart given in Fig. 3, will be described in detail in this section.

A. Image Acquisition

Image acquisition is the starting point of the system operation. The images to be processed are considered from two different viewpoints. On the one hand, the images are parts of a map and, on the other, the images can be considered as specific captures or snapshots of a larger map. The maps are dynamic entities that can be dragged, zoomed (i.e., displayed in more or less detail), but the captures can be seen as static parts of a map which are selected by the end-user via the interface. These captures or snapshots can then be sent to the server and processed in spite of the components of the map layer, in our case supported by the Google Maps engine.

The methodology implemented in our system for the image capture retrieval from the map layer has been developed using JavaScript libraries. These processes have access to the collection or "puzzle" of images that compose a certain map, thus taking advantage of the browser's cache memory to optimize such an operation. The query is directed to the map layer in case that the image is not already in the cache memory (a situation that seldom occurs). This option leads to some advantages, mostly to high speed achieved by the system in the task of image captures regardless of the latency of communications with the server. This feature reduces the communication traffic and increases the performance in the local management of image captures.

In order to achieve such a functionality, several layers of images from the server are considered once the image captures



Fig. 4. Flowchart describing the strategies adopted for managing image captures.

have been processed, thereby obtaining a stack of images in which each layer represents a class (as determined by the considered processing algorithms, i.e., k-means and ISODATA). The layers are completely independent, thus allowing visualization as individual entities or as a combination between layers, providing great flexibility in the analysis of the obtained results and a specific management of layers. Finally, the system also allows for the rapid acquisition of multiple captures from the same map, along with the simultaneous operation of multiple maps. Fig. 4 shows the strategy adopted by our system for image capture management.

B. Graphical User Interface (GUI)

GUI is important because it is the visible part of our system and allows the user to perform all operations available in the application. An HTML page and JavaScript libraries have been used for development. These libraries are the jQuery framework (version 1.6.2) and jQuery-UI (version 1.8.16). Other developments using JavaScript libraries have been accomplished in order to add new functionalities to the created widgets. As the whole GUI runs on the client layer, usability and speed of response are guaranteed, and the adopted design is very flexible. The GUI has been developed in the form of a



Fig. 5. Graphical user interface in the application.

single HTML page, avoiding repeated requests and responses back to the server.

Several features within the HTML5 standard have been used in order to design the GUI for our system application. The most important object used is the canvas, which allows for an efficient management of the images to be processed. Pixel-level access to the content of a canvas object is possible, thus largely simplifying the implementation of image processing operations. The container can carry out map captures (snapshots), to access the information of each pixel of the capture, to transfer all such information to the server layer, and to save the obtained information (processed images). As noted above, a stack of images is obtained as an outcome with as many layers as the classes identified by the processing algorithms k-means and ISODATA. The obtained layers can be merged in order to simplify the interpretation of the obtained results.

To achieve the aforementioned functionality, the only requirement at the client layer is the use of browsers that support HTML5. Some browsers currently support some (but not all) of the features in HTML5. One of the key features that client browsers must support in the context of our application is the so-called attribute crossOrigin of the image object in HTML⁸. Should this feature not be supported, the application will display a security error and will not work correctly.

Our application is fully accessible from mobile devices: although the application is developed to be accessed primarily from a PC browser, it is also operational on mobile applications, such as smartphones or tablets, as far as these devices use browsers that support HTML5. Fig. 5 shows an example of the designed GUI, designed for simplicity purposes. It consists of a single web page with a working panel, a container of maps, and a capture container. The work panel features the creation of maps, the update of a map's captures, the zoom level shift, and the selection of processing parameters for the k-means, ISO-DATA and spatial post-processing algorithms implemented for image analysis tasks in the current version. The map container can hold multiple maps of individual sizes, while the capture container allows for several captures of the same map. Different captures of the same map always have the same size as the original map size.

Finally, Fig. 6 provides a display of our application. Different layers are managed in this case. In this screenshot, we aim to exemplify how an image has been processed and several classes have been identified by one of the considered processing algorithms. The system can let users show, hide and merge different classes identified by such processing algorithms. The colors associated to these classes can also be edited and customized. The layers can be superimposed on the original image (capture) to be processed, thus generating a final product which comprises an unsupervised classification of a certain area whose location, size, dimensionality, zoom level, etc. are defined by the end-user.

C. Image Processing

Two different modules deal with image processing in our system. First, the web server receives the URLs corresponding to the portions of the image to be processed, then composes



Fig. 6. An example illustrating the management of different layers in the application.

the full image and processes it with the methodologies implemented in the system, and then forwards the obtained result to the client layer. Secondly, the compute server processes the image effectively (e.g., applying the considered clustering and spatial post-processing algorithms). The image processing tasks are described next at both layers, and in particular, the method for image processing request management is explained.

1) Handling Image Processing Requests: The main task of the web server is to receive requests from clients. Such requests are handled as follows. First, the client selects the image capture to be processed and a function gets the URL of each portion in order to compose the full image. In this way the information needed to compose the full image can be encapsulated into an AJAX request together with all processing options, and sent to the web server asynchronously using the HTTP protocol, and specifically a function called post.

Once the web server has received the full request, a Php function creates an image from the URL received and then obtains the parameters needed to accomplish the processing task (number of classes, number of iterations, etc.) Then the compute server assigns a timestamp to the image to identify it as a unique entity. The image is stored onto a temporary folder, and the web server calls the compute server indicating the location and unique identification of the image, so that the data can be efficiently processed by the compute server (both the web server and compute server can access the image structure and its particular location). Finally, the compute server generates a final product (in our case, the processed image) from the information received, and stores the output on another temporary location. After the processing task has been completed, the web server takes control again. It collects the final product generated by the compute server and sends it to the client in response to the original AJAX request originated at the client layer, thus closing the communication cycle with the client that originated the request.

2) Performing the Actual Image Processing Task: Next we describe in more detail how the actual image processing task is performed at the compute server. C language is used to perform the analysis of the input image, thus producing a final product (processed image) which is stored on a different location. As described above, the communication between the system layers (i.e., the different modules that compose the design of our system) is performed using system calls from the web server to the compute server. This is done by an asynchronous call using the method POST of AJAX. The call is received by the web server, which creates a new processing thread and performs a call to the compute server using sentences from the operating system. In our case, these sentences are simple invocations to the processing software using input (image to be processed) and output (processed image) parameters as follows: \$return = shell_exec(parameters). The processing thread now simply awaits the finalization of the processing task and returns the obtained result to the web server. Since each thread is independent from each other, there are no possible conflicts between different operating system calls. This is because the write operations in disk are independent as a result of the fact that unique image identifiers (timestamps) are used. Currently our system only uses one CPU and, hence, the signaling can still be handled in a conventional way.

Fig. 7 summarizes the whole image processing strategy adopted by our proposed system, from the receipt of the URLs that allow for the composition of the full image, to the generation of an AJAX request in order to start the processing



Fig. 7. A summary of the whole image processing strategy adopted by our proposed system.



Fig. 8. Processing example, using the proposed system, of a Google Maps image of the Iberian Peninsula. The example shows that the classification can be refined by merging classes.

cycle at the web server, and ultimately to the execution of the request and the compute server, and the provision of the final product (processed image) back to the client that initiated the request. An important final step of the process is to overlay the final product with the original image to be processed. This is done at the client, once the processing cycle has been finalized. The method used to perform this task is putImageData, also a method of the canvas object. Fig. 7 reveals a modular design with clearly defined interactions between the different layers of the system.

Finally, Fig. 8 shows a processing example of an image captured from Google Maps corresponding to the Iberian Peninsula. As indicated in Fig. 7, many classes could be identified in the considered test case, including different ones in the water areas. The combination of classes (functionality included in the system) can lead to improved results by joining different classes (e.g., belonging to water). 3) Saving the Final Results: This part specifies how final results are saved, as this requires a special treatment in the implementation. Specifically, the generated product is not stored on any server when the processing is completed, and it is only located in the local memory of the browser at the client. The results can be expressed in different forms, e.g., as a processed image, as a collection of layers that can be superimposed with the original data set, or as a combination of both. Two specific actions are taken:

- 1) A JavaScript library (called canvas2image) saves the contents of the canvas object on the local device using different image formats, such as JPEG, PNG or Bitmap.
- 2) The combined result is saved after putting together different layers of the results inside the canvas object by applying another canvas container which integrates all the data layers to be displayed. Once the image is saved, the initial container is not retained. This process is transparent



Fig. 9. Structure of the canvas object that contains the initial image to be processed and the outcome of the processing.

to the user and is also optimized from the viewpoint of computational performance. Fig. 9 displays the content of a canvas object, which is the container of both the original image capture and processed image, decomposed in the form of different layers after the processing is completed.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

This section describes the experimental validation of our developed system by using satellite/airborne images obtained from Google Maps across different locations. The validation is conducted by means of the following steps:

- An experiment with the k-means unsupervised classification algorithm by selecting a satellite image over an urban area (Pavia city, Italy). This choice represents a challenging classification scenario due to the presence of complex urban features. The validation has been conducted by evaluating the agreement between the classification results provided by our implemented version of k-means in relation to those available in the well-known ENVI commercial software package. We adopt the same parameters when running our implementations as those available in the ENVI package.
- 2) The second experiment is a similar analysis, but this time based on a satellite image collected over the city of Mérida, Spain. This area contains archeological remains from Roman times, thus probed to examine how the web-based tool can work in the context of archeological-oriented remote sensing applications. In this experiment, we also evaluate the impact of using the ISODATA algorithm and spatial post-processing over the considered processing chain based on a visual assessment of the classification results obtained.
- 3) The first two tests used Google Map images, obtained at the highest level of zoom available, whereas in the third experiment, a satellite image is obtained over the Amazon river in South America by using a higher zoom level that seeks

to evaluate our tool when processing much larger areas of the Earth's surface. In this experiment we also evaluate the computational performance of the server-client architecture developed for the fast processing of massive data sets.

A. Experiment 1: Validation of the K-means Unsupervised Classification Algorithm

For this experiment, Pavia, Italy [see Fig. 10(a)] represents a challenging classification scenario due to the presence of complex urban features. The spatial resolution of the image is approximately 1.2 meters per pixel. Fig. 10(b) shows the unsupervised classification result obtained by the proposed processing chain, using the well-known k-means algorithm, implemented to search for a total of c = 6 clusters [12]. No spatial post-processing is performed, thus the spectral clustering performance of the algorithm takes place without any spatial information.

Fig. 10(c) shows the classification result obtained by the k-means algorithm implemented by Research Systems ENVI software, using also c = 6 classes. As shown by comparing Figs. 10(b) and 10(c), the color labels obtained in the different classification results are different, but the classification maps provided by our processing chain applied to the original satellite image in Fig. 10(b) and by ENVI's implementation of k-means in Fig. 10(c) are similar. Table II reports the classification agreement (in percentage) [3] measured after comparing our processing chain result, based on k-means classification, with the one obtained by ENVI (assuming the latter as the reference). As shown by Table II, the agreement between the obtained classification maps is always very high regardless of the labeling of the classes. This is also confirmed by the confusion matrix [24] displayed in Table III. This experiment reveals that our k-means classifier is very similar to the one available in the commercial (ENVI) software.

B. Experiment 2: Validation of the ISODATA Algorithm With Spatial Post-Processing

In this second experiment, the satellite-based image taken of Mérida, Spain [see Fig. 11(a)], offers a high spatial resolution of approximately 1.2 meters per pixel. The image was collected over the Roman Theater of Mérida (dating back to 16 - 15 BC, but renovated later on). The theatre is located in one of the most extensive archaeological sites in Spain. It was declared a World Heritage Site by UNESCO in 1993. The theatre was located at the edge of the Roman city near the walls. The grandstand consists of a semicircular seating area (cavea), with a capacity for 6,000 spectators eventually divided into three areas: the lowest tier called the ima cavea (22 rows), the medium tier called the media (5 rows), and a top tier called the summa, this one in less good condition. The Roman theater is the most visited monument in the city, and its festival classic theater is performed for the first time in 1933 and still continues today.

This monument has been chosen as an example of remotely sensed archeology, and we have decided to enhance a view offered by Google Maps to improve the visualization of the structure and the scale of this relevant monument for the region. Figs. 11(b) and 11(c) respectively show the unsupervised classification results obtained from our processing chain using the ISODATA algorithm and the classification obtained from



(b) (c)

Fig. 10. (a) Satellite image collected over the city of Pavia, Italy (b) Classification using our processing chain implemented with k-means and c = 6 classes (c) Classification using ENVI's k-means implemented with the same parameters.

applying spatial post-processing (using a processing window of 3×3 pixels) over the classification result obtained from Fig. 11(b). Figs. 11(d) and 11(e) respectively show the unsupervised classification of the ISODATA algorithm and the classification obtained from applying spatial post-processing using the same parameters and the ones obtained from our implementation.

In this experiment, a fixed number of c = 6 and a window size of 3×3 pixels have been considered. Also, although the color class labels for the implementations are different, the classification map provided by our implementation (without spatial post-processing) and the ones obtained using ENVI are very similar. In both cases, the algorithm parameters have been set to exactly the same values. Table IV reports the classification percentages of agreement measured after comparing our ISODATA classification maps with and without to apply a spatial post-processing. As shown by Table IV, the agreement between the maps is always very high (about a 90%). The confusion matrices for ISODATA and ISODATA with a spatial post-processing are respectively provided in Tables V and VI. With this example, the potential of our proposed tool for perform classification with and without applying a spatial post-processing over a satellite image is shown.

C. Experiment 3: Performance of the Client-Server Architecture

This third test assesses the performance of the system in terms of computational cost and processing time. The experiment consists of two main parts. First, we evaluate the performance of the proposed application using a web-based server and three different client configurations (medium, high, and very high quality of Internet access). Then, we discuss the impact of using a local compute server or a remote server in the experiments. A satellite image is collected over the Amazon river in South America, using different zoom levels to illustrate the impact of the parameters from the two previous experiments when performing the image capture (snapshot) in the Google Maps engine. The comparison is simply intended to illustrate the computational advantages that can be gained by using a remote compute server with regards to the case in which local processing is performed in a desktop computer.

Fig. 12(a) shows the image to be processed at a given zoom level. Fig. 12(b) shows the obtained processing result, using the k-means algorithm with c = 6 classes and without spatial post-processing. Table VII summarizes the different zoom levels that will be considered in this scene. The table indicates the spatial resolution of each image (depending on the considered zoom level) and the size in MB of the captured image at each zoom level. Before describing the obtained timing results, we summarize in Table VIII the client-server configurations adopted in our experimental evaluation. The table describes three different scenarios given by different processing speeds, where the "Home PC" scenario can be considered as medium quality, the "Work PC" scenario as high quality, and the "UEX LAN" scenario (here, the abbreviation "UEX" refers to "University of Extremadura"), as very high quality (in terms of bandwidth transmission). All the previous scenarios make use of a remote server called "CETA-Ciemat".

TABLE II PERCENTAGE OF AGREEMENT (IN TERMS OF INDIVIDUAL CLASSES AND FROM A GLOBAL POINT OF VIEW) AFTER COMPARING THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIG. 10(B), PRODUCED BY OUR TOOL (WITH THE K-MEANS ALGORITHM) WITH THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIGS. 10(C), PRODUCED BY ENVI.

Water	Arid soil	Grobe	Urban areas #1	Semiarid soil	Urban areas #2	Overall
(Cyan)	(Grey)	(Red)	(Orange)	(Yellow)	(Green)	agreement
100.00	78.64	90.40	75.65	81.79	75.01	83.58





(c)

(d)



Fig. 11. (a) Satellite image collected over the Roman city of Mérida, Spain (b) Classification using our processing chain with ISODATA (c) Classification using ENVI's ISODATA (d) Classification using our processing chain with ISODATA with spatial post-processing (e) Classification using ENVI's ISODATA with spatial post-processing.

Fig. 13 compares the timing results obtained in the three considered scenarios (Home PC, Work PC and UEX LAN) with and without spatial post-processing. As Fig. 13 suggests, processing time depends on the type of internet connection. Secondly, processing time depends largely on image size. As indicated in Fig. 13, this time will grow significantly as the zoom level becomes more detailed, in particular for the medium-quality scenario. Finally, it is also clear from Fig. 13 that spatial post-processing increases execution time but not significantly, regardless of the quality of the connection available.

In all cases, we can observe how processing complexity increases with image size (i.e., with the considered zoom level). Spatial post-processing does not significantly increase computational complexity (despite the relatively large size of the spatial window adopted, with 7×7 pixels). Another important observation is that, as the quality of the used configuration increases, processing times significantly decreases, though the proposed system performs suitably in all cases. This fact illustrates the portability of the proposed system to different quality configurations.

Finally, a comparison is made between the processing time invested by the proposed system to perform the same image processing task described if the compute server is implemented locally versus the implementation in which the compute server is run on a remote machine. Fig. 14 shows the processing times measured for the considered image processing scenario when the compute server is executed locally (in "Home PC") and with a remote server system (using the "Server CETA-Ciemat").

After comparing Figs. 14(a) and 14(b), the significant improvements achieved by implementing the compute server as a remote server can be observed, which reduces the processing times significantly. These improvements are detailed below: 1) If the compute server is implemented locally, the processing times are always much higher than if using a remote server, even if the local processing removes communication times over the network, as all the work is done on the same machine; and 2) the larger the image size, the higher the times measured for the local processing while the remote processing times do not increase so significantly. This observation demonstrates that the use of a dedicated (remote) compute server, offers important advantages from the viewpoint of the computational efficiency of the considered application. In this case, a LAN connection is recommended in order to keep the communication times within reasonable levels.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH LINES

This paper has described a web-based system for computationally efficient processing of satellite/airborne images. The system, developed with the Google Maps applications

Class	Water	Arid soil	Grobe	Urban areas	Semiarid soil	Urban areas
	(Red)	(Magenta)	(Green)	#1 (Yellow)	(Blue)	#2 (Cyan)
Water	99,927	0	5,562	0	0	0
(Cyan)						
Arid soil	0	12,970	0	0	0	0
(Grey)						
Grove	0	0	52,368	0	11,933	0
(Red)						
Urban areas	0	0	0	49,938	0	13,520
#1 (Orange)						
Semiarid soil	0	0	0	16,073	53,607	0
(Yellow)						
Urban areas	0	3,523	0	0	0	40,579
#2 (Green)						

TABLE III Confusion Matrix Obtained After Comparing the Classification map in Fig. 10(b), Produced by our System (With the K-Means Algorithm) With the Classification map in Fig. 10(c) Produced by ENVI.

TABLE IV

PERCENTAGE OF AGREEMENT AFTER COMPARING THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIG. 11(B), PRODUCED BY OUR TOOL (WITH ISODATA), WITH THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIG. 11(D), PRODUCED BY ENVI, AND AFTER COMPARING THE MAP IN FIG. 11(C) PRODUCED BY OUR TOOL (WITH SPATIAL POST-PROCESSING) WITH THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIG. 11(E) PRODUCED BY ENVI (ALSO WITH SPATIAL POST-PROCESSING).

Clustering	Sand	Structure	Trees	Shadows	Rocks	Pavement	Overall
algorithm	(Red)	(Cyan)	(Grey)	(Yellow)	(Green)	(Orange)	agreement
ISODATA	87.90	89.23	93.64	100.00	84.56	91.33	91.11
ISODATA with							
spatial	79.48	91.09	87.02	98.42	79.32	89.36	87.45
post-processing							

 TABLE V

 Confusion Matrix Obtained After Comparing the Classification map in Fig. 11(b), Produced by our System (With ISODATA) With the map in Fig. 11(d), Produced by ENVI.

Class	Sand	Structure	Trees	Shadows	Rocks	Pavemen
	(Yellow)	(Magenta)	(Grey)	(Red)	(Blue)	(Orange)
Sand	35,986	0	0	0	0	5,248
(Red)						
Structure	0	17,928	0	0	0	0
(Cyan)						
Trees	0	0	40,335	0	5,187	0
(Grey)						
Shadows	0	0	2,739	45,373	0	0
(Yellow)						
Rocks	4,951	0	0	0	25,947	0
(Green)						
Pavement	0	2,088	0	0	0	55,298
(Orange)						

programming interface (API), incorporates functionalities such as unsupervised classification for image portions selected by the user (at the desired zoom level) using the k-means and ISODATA clustering algorithms, followed by spatial post-processing. Most importantly, the processing of satellite/airborne images is conducted by means of a centralized server which receives the image to be processed, performs the analysis

TABLE VI

CONFUSION MATRIX OBTAINED AFTER COMPARING THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIG. 11(C), PRODUCED BY OUR SYSTEM (WITH ISODATA PLUS SPATIAL POST-PROCESSING), WITH THE CLASSIFICATION MAP IN FIG. 11(E), PRODUCED BY ENVI.

Class	Sand	Structure	Trees	Shadows	Rocks	Pavement
	(Yellow)	(Magenta)	(Grey)	(Red)	(Blue)	(Orange)
Sand	32,503	77	336	137	494	4,935
(Red)						
Structure	515	17,547	122	30	246	924
(Cyan)						
Trees	186	20	37,425	198	4,524	51
(Grey)						
Shadows	60	12	3,703	46,062	199	26
(Yellow)						
Rocks	6,189	57	1,261	287	21,590	751
(Green)						
Pavement	1,440	1,550	158	88	165	56,132
(Orange)						

efficiently, and returns the classification result to the end-user. This represents an improvement over a previous development desktop application presented in [10]. The system has been implemented using a modular design which allows for the future incorporation of full multi-GPU functionality (using OpenCL implementations) in order to expand its processing capabilities.



Fig. 12. (a) Satellite image collected over the Amazon river in South America. (b) Classification using our processing chain with k-means.

TABLE VII DIFFERENT ZOOM LEVELS CONSIDERED IN THE EXPERIMENTS WITH A SATELLITE IMAGE OVER THE AMAZON RIVER IN SOUTH AMERICA AND AVAILABLE IN GOOGLE MAPS.

Considered zoom level	Image size (MB)	Dimensions (pixels)
Zoom 1	0.20	600×150
Zoom 2	0.50	1200×300
Zoom 3	2.59	2400×600
Zoom 4	10.00	4800×1200



Fig. 13. Comparison of the timing results obtained in the three considered scenarios (Home PC, Work PC and UEX LAN) with and without spatial post-processing.

This would require a further evaluation of the possibility to perform the computation in distributed fashion, accompanied of a comparison in terms of energy consumption to determine the efficiency of the used server setups. Our experimental results,



Fig. 14. Comparison of the timing results obtained by the proposed system when the compute server is implemented as a local machine or as a (remote) server.

conducted by comparing the obtained classification results with those provided by commercial products such as the popular ENVI software package, reveal that the proposed web-based tool provides classification maps with high similarity in relation to those provided by ENVI for the same satellite/airborne imagery, but with the possibility to perform the classification of any image portion available in the Google Maps engine. However, in future developments we are planing on using the overlays available in Google Maps API to superimpose the outcome of the classification process (possibly with different transparency levels) on the original satellite/airborne imagery provided by Google Maps.

In the future, our optimal scenario would be to validate the classification accuracies that can be achieved by the proposed system in comparison to some ground-truth data or other supervised classification methods. However, ground-truth data are very difficult to obtain in practice and we could not obtain such information registered to the Google Maps data used by the proposed system. Hence, in this work we decided to use the agreement with the classification results provided by the same algorithms implemented in a widely used and highly consolidated software tool such as ENVI as an indicator of the performance of our system in classification tasks. The obtained agreements were very high, indicating that our system can provide classification results which are similar to those already provided by commercial software. In addition, we also plan to incorporate other advanced classifiers to the proposed web-based system,

Settings	External LAN	External LAN	UEX LAN	Server CETA-Ciemat
	(Home PC)	(Work PC)		LAN
CPU	Intel Core 2	Intel Core 2	Intel Core 2	2×QuadCore
	Duo, 3 GHz	Duo, 3 GHz	2.53 GHz	Intel Xeon 2.27 GHz
Main	6GB	4 GB	4 GB	12 GB
memory				
Client	Google	Google	Google	Google
browser	Chrome	Chrome	Chrome	Chrome
Operating	Windows Vista	Windows Vista	Windows 7	CentOS 6.2
system	Business 32 Bits	Business 32 Bits	Professional	
			64 Bits	
Download	36,000 Kbps	36,000 Kbps	13,258 Kbps	100 Mbps
speed				
Upstream	1,100 Kbps	1,100 Kbps	10,593 Kbps	100 Mbps
speed				

 TABLE VIII

 DIFFERENT SCENARIOS USED IN THE COMPUTATIONAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF OUR SYSTEM.

such as Random Forests (RFs) and Support Vector Machines (SVMs). We are also considering the inclusion of alternative data sources without watermarks (e.g., Bing Maps) following a highly modular design. We are also planning on separating the web server and the processing server functionalities in order to make our design more modular and also to guarantee security (it would be highly desirable to have a design in which the compute server only has access to the web server and cannot be externally accessed. On the other hand, we would like to extend the developed tool with the incorporation of CBIR functionalities. For that purpose, the strategy will be based on a query system linked to feature extraction from an image repository (such as Google Maps or other maps server). The retrieved features (which will comprise shape descriptors, texture features, etc.) will be stored on a database of features and used to compare the feature vector of the input query with those recorded by means of a similarity function. This facility will provide a result to the end-user in the form of image portions (across different locations) that have enough similarity in relation to the features of the input query. An immediate research focus would thus be the integration of a CBIR architecture in our system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully thank CETA-Ciemat Supercomputing Center in Trujillo, Spain, for their technical support, and the editors and reviewers for their outstanding comments and suggestions, which greatly helped to improve the technical content and presentation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- R. A. Schowengerdt, Remote Sensing: Models and Methods for Image Processing, 2nd ed. New York: Academic Press, 1997.
- [2] D. A. Landgrebe, Signal Theory Methods in Multispectral Remote Sensing. New York: Wiley, 2003.
- [3] J. A. Richards and X. Jia, Remote Sensing Digital Image Analysis: An Introduction. New York: Springer, 2006.

- [4] M. Fauvel, J. A. Benediktsson, J. Chanussot, and J. Sveinsson, "Recent advances in techniques for hyperspectral image processing," *Remote Sens. Environ.*, vol. 113, pp. 110–122, 2009.
- [5] A. Plaza, J. A. Benediktsson, J. Boardman, J. Brazile, L. Bruzzone, G. Camps-Valls, J. Chanussot, M. Fauvel, P. Gamba, J. Gualtieri, M. Marconcini, J. Tilton, and G. Trianni, "Spectral and spatial classification of hyperspectral data using svms and morphological profiles," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 3804–3814, 2008.
- [6] E. Quirós, A. M. Felicísimo, and A. Cuartero, "Testing multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS) as a method of land cover classification of Terra-Aster satellite images," *Sensors*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 9011–9028, 2009.
- [7] A. Cuartero, A. M. Felicísimo, M. E. Polo, A. Caro, and P. G. Rodriguez, "Positional accuracy analysis of satellite imagery by circular statistics," *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.*, vol. 76, no. 11, pp. 1275–1286, 2010.
- [8] D. Tuia, F. Ratle, F. Pacifici, M. F. Kanevski, and W. J. Emery, "Active learning methods for remote sensing image classification," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 2218–2232, 2009.
- [9] A. Plaza, J. Plaza, and A. Paz, "Parallel heterogeneous CBIR system for efficient hyperspectral image retrieval using spectral mixture analysis," *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1138–1159, 2010.
- [10] S. Bernabé, A. Plaza, P. R. Marpu, and J. A. Benediktsson, "A new parallel tool for classification of remotely sensed imagery," *Comput. Geosci.*, vol. 46, pp. 208–218, 2012.
- [11] G. Ball and D. Hall, ISODATA: A Novel Method of Data Analysis and Classification, Stanford University, 1965, Technical Report AD-699616.
- [12] J. A. Hartigan and M. A. Wong, "Algorithm as 136: A k-means clustering algorithm," J. of the Royal Statistical Soc., Series C (Appl. Statist.), vol. 28, pp. 100–108, 1979.
- [13] P. Gamba, F. Dell'Acqua, F. Ferrari, J. A. Palmason, and J. A. Benediktsson, "Exploiting spectral and spatial information in hyperspectral urban data with high resolution," *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sensing Lett.*, vol. 1, pp. 322–326, 2005.
- [14] A. Plaza and C.-I. Chang, *High Performance Computing in Remote Sensing*. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis, 2007.
- [15] A. Plaza, D. Valencia, J. Plaza, and P. Martinez, "Commodity clusterbased parallel processing of hyperspectral imagery," *J. Parallel and Distributed Computing*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 345–358, 2006.
- [16] A. Plaza, J. Plaza, A. Paz, and S. Sanchez, "Parallel hyperspectral image and signal processing," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 119–126, 2011.
- [17] S. Kalluri, Z. Zhang, J. JaJa, S. Liang, and J. Townshend, "Characterizing land surface anisotropy from AVHRR data at a global scale using high performance computing," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 22, pp. 2171–2191, 2001.

- [18] A. Plaza, "Special issue on architectures and techniques for real-time processing of remotely sensed images," *J. Real-Time Image Process.*, vol. 4, pp. 191–193, 2009.
- [19] T. Balz and U. Stilla, "Hybrid GPU-based single- and double-bounce SAR simulationn," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 3519–3529, 2009.
- [20] J. Mielikainen, B. Huang, and A. Huang, "GPU-accelerated multi-profile radiative transfer model for the infrared atmospheric sounding interferometer," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 691–700, 2011.
- [21] C. Song, Y. Li, and B. Huang, "A GPU-accelerated wavelet decompression system with SPIHT and Reed-Solomon decoding for satellite images," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 683–690, 2011.
- [22] S.-C. Wei and B. Huang, "GPU acceleration of predictive partitioned vector quantization for ultraspectral sounder data compression," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 677–682, 2011.
- [23] C.-C. Chang, Y.-L. Chang, M.-Y. Huang, and B. Huang, "Accelerating regular LDPC code decoders on GPUs," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 503–507, 2011.
- [24] A. Plaza, Q. Du, Y.-L. Chang, and R. L. King, "Foreword to the special issue on high performance computing in earth observation and remote sensing," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 503–507, 2011.
- [25] C. A. Lee, S. D. Gasster, A. Plaza, C.-I. Chang, and B. Huang, "Recent developments in high performance computing for remote sensing: A review," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 508–527, 2011.
- [26] J. L. Moigne, N. S. Netanyahu, and R. D. Eastman, *Image Registration for Remote Sensing*. New York: Cambridge University Press/Wiley, 2011.
- [27] H. G. Lewis and M. Brown, "A generalized confusion matrix for assessing area estimates from remotely sensed data," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 22, no. 16, pp. 3223–3235, 2001.



Ángel Ferrán was born in Mérida, Extremadura, Spain, in 1975. He received the Technical Engineering Computer degree from the University of Extremadura (UEX) in 1999 and the Master of Engineering Computer degree from Polytechnic of Caceres (UEX) in 2012.

He joined "Proactiva Medio Ambiente" Madrid, Spain in 1999, as analyst-programmer in an international project about computerized accounting. In 2003 he was in charge of that project. From 2005 to 2009 he worked as Sap Programmer in Extremadura

Health Service. Since 2009 he worked in the development computer projects department of the Government of Extremadura. He is currently collaborating on researching projects with the Gim and HyperComp research groups at the Polytechnic of Caceres. His research interests include earth observations, remote sensing and web programming.



Sergio Bernabé received the Computer Engineer degree from the University of Extremadura, Caceres, Spain, and the M.Sc. degree from the same university. He is currently a joint Ph.D. between the University of Iceland and the University of Extremadura, Spain.

He has been a visiting researcher with the Institute for Applied Microelectronics, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. His research interests comprise hyper/multi-spectral image analysis and efficient implementations of large-scale cientific prob-

lems on commodity Beowulf clusters and graphical processing units (GPUS).

Mr. Bernabe has served as a reviewer for the IEEE JOURNAL OF SELECTED TOPICS IN APPLIED EARTH OBSERVATIONS AND REMOTE SENSING.



Pablo G. Rodríguez received the B.Sc. degree in physical science with specialization in electronics and computing in 1992. He received the Ph.D. degree in computer science in 2000, working in digital mammography.

He is an Associate Professor in the Department of Computer Science at the University of Extremadura since 1997. His research area is related to the development of Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis techniques, and so specific fields of interest are active contours, texture analysis, image classification,

relevance feedback, and CBIR (content-based image retrieval) and CBVR (content-based video retrieval) systems.



Antonio Plaza was born in Cáceres, Spain, in 1975. He received the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in computer engineering from the University of Extremadura, Spain.

He has been a Visiting Researcher with the Remote Sensing Signal and Image Processing Laboratory (RSSIPL), University of Maryland Baltimore County; with the Applied Information Sciences Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD; with the Airborne Visible Infrared Imaging Spectrometer Data Facility,

NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), Pasadena, CA; with the Telecommunications and Remote Sensing Laboratory, University of Pavia, Italy; and with the GIPSA-lab, Grenoble Images Parole Signal Automatique, France. He is currently an Associate Professor (with accreditation for Full Professor) with the Department of Technology of Computers and Communications, University of Extremadura, where he is the Head of the Hyperspectral Computing Laboratory (HyperComp). He was the Coordinator of the Hyperspectral Imaging Network, a European project designed to build an interdisciplinary research community focused on hyperspectral imaging activities. He is the author or coauthor of more than 350 publications on remotely sensed hyperspectral imaging, including more than 90 journal citation report papers (45 since January 2011), around 20 book chapters, and over 230 conference proceeding papers. His research interests include remotely sensed hyperspectral imaging, pattern recognition, signal and image processing, and efficient implementation of large-scale scientific problems on parallel and distributed computer architectures

Dr. Plaza has guest edited seven special issues on scientific journals on the topic of remotely sensed hyperspectral imaging. He has been a Chair for the IEEE Workshop on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (Whispers 2011). He has also been serving as a Chair for the SPIE Conference on Satellite Data Compression, Communications, and Processing, since 2009, and for the SPIE Europe Conference on High-Performance Computing in Remote Sensing, since 2011. He has been a recipient of the recognition of Best Reviewers of the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters in 2009 and a recipient of the recognition of Best Reviewers of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING in 2010, a journal for which he has served as Associate Editor since 2007 and for which he has reviewed more than 260 manuscripts. He is currently serving as the Director of Education Activities for the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society (GRSS), and as President of the Spanish Chapter of IEEE GRSS. He has been appointed Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING, with a three-year term starting on January 2013.